

RUBRIC FOR EVALUATION OF BMCC FACULTY DEVELOPMENT GRANT

RATING	<i>Excellent</i> (4)	<i>Very good</i> (3)	<i>Good</i> (2)	<i>Poor</i> (1)	<i>Inadequate/ Missing</i> (0)	SCORE
The proposal is as jargon free as possible.						
Applicant explains the purpose and significance of the project.						
Applicant has demonstrated how the project fits into a larger context of his or her field or discipline.						
The specific aims/objectives are clearly stated						
Proposal clearly shows the design and methodology						
Applicant has demonstrated relevant qualifications for the completion of the proposed project.						
Proposal has clearly defined outputs, not limited to journals, publications, specific conferences, other presentations/performances						
The applicant has shown how the project will enhance his or her professional development.						
Applicant has provided a clear and attainable timeline.						
The requested budget aligns with the purpose and timeline of the project.						
TOTAL SCORE						

Rationale for final score. Approximately 50 words. (Feedback will be given anonymously to the applicant):